1513 Ocean Drive Unit 5 the eviction should be overturned on Brady Rule violations at the eviction hearing



The landlords in a covert politically motivated operation surrounded me with mentally ill patients paid to pick fights with me, plant evidence, keep me awake at night, break into my apartment, and other provocaions and attacks hoping I could not survive the hostile, abusive, and voilent environment.

Inside Jobs

Copilot: I would like to consider this in the context of "Inside Jobs." My premise is that police may go undercover into an organization to find a preexisting person acting illegally in the organization or while hiding behind excuses may become a person newly in the organization who is acting illegally inside the oganziation police powers gained entry into.

Here is animage—an evocative noir-style portrayal of a police officer whose shadow reveals a monstrous distortion, symbolizing the abuse of power under color of law. It captures the inversion you described: the trusted figure becoming the threat from within. Ed Donegan asserts the victim is himself a homeless person and thus easy prey.

Slandered and Desroyed and Homeless exactly as the poltically connected slandering police officer had wanted to acheive

Ed Donegan assesrts undercover police assets created false flags to evict him from his home office and later used thos and similar false flags to incite the homeless against him physcially

Dual Scenarios of Undercover Entry and Mapping the Abuse: A Nested Framework

Scenario	Description	Legal/Ethical Risk
Legitimate Infiltration	Police enter an organization to uncover *preexisting* criminal activity (e.g., drug trafficking, fraud).	Risk of entrapment if agents induce crime rather than observe it.
Corrupt Infiltration e.g. writer's home office leasing office	Police use undercover status to *initiate* or *commit* crimes within the organization.	Violates 18 U.S.C. § 242 (deprivation of rights under color of law).

Scenario Description	Legal/Ethical Risk	
	e.g. sabotage of lease	

Layer	Actor	Action	Justification	Risk
1	Police agency	Deploys undercover agent	Investigate crime	Legal if targeted and proportionate
2	Undercover officer	Joins organization	Surveillance	Risk of entrapment or overreach
3	Officer commits crime	Theft, coercion, sabotage	Claims operational necessity	Color of law violation
4	Institution defends agent	Shields from scrutiny	“National security” or “ongoing investigation”	Institutional impunity

The Brady rule, named after Brady v. Maryland, requires prosecutors to disclose material, exculpatory information in the government's possession to the defense. Brady material, or the evidence the prosecutor is required to disclose under this rule, includes any information favorable to the accused which may reduce a defendant's potential sentence, go against the credibility of an unfavorable witness, or otherwise allow a jury to infer against the defendant’s guilt.

Initially, the Brady rule was only applicable if the defendant made a pretrial request for specific information which the prosecution denied. In United States v. Bagley, however, the Supreme Court eliminated this request requirement and stated that the prosecution has a constitutional duty to disclose all material, favorable information in their possession to defendants regardless of whether it is requested. This duty is breached regardless of whether that information is withheld intentionally or unintentionally.

If a Brady rule violation is discovered during trial, the court can either declare a mistrial or prohibit the prosecution from using unfavorable evidence which could be discredited by the withheld information. Because the Brady rule inherently involves a lack of information on the side of the defense, however, violations of the Brady rule are typically only discovered after the defendant is already convicted. As a result, the most common outcome of a Brady rule violation is overturning that conviction. Additionally, if the prosecution withheld Brady material intentionally or knowingly, they may be subject to sanctions.

The defendant bears the burden to prove that any withheld information was both material and favorable. A defendant meets this burden if they can show that there is a reasonable probability that the outcome of the trial would have been different had the information been disclosed. In Kyles v. Whitley, the Supreme Court clarified four aspects of this test:

“Reasonable probability” is a question of whether the government’s failure to disclose this information undermines confidence in the outcome of the trial.

> “Reasonable probability” is not a sufficiency of evidence test and the defendant does not need to show that the evidence, barring any evidence undermined by the withheld information, is inadequate to support a conviction. Rather, the defendant merely must show that the withheld information can reasonably be taken to put the whole case in a different light.

Failure to disclose information which has a reasonable probability of changing the outcome of the trial is inherently harmful, thus there is no need for a separate harmless error review. All information not disclosed

must be considered collectively, not item by item. https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/brady_rule

Image 1513OceanDriveUnit5.jpg is the home I lived in that harassers were placed near and in such a context I was evicted for conflicts with the harrassers. This was part of my filing to the magistrate., What were beign staged were frauds by "shills" neighbors helping the management company defraud me with untrue yet staged allegations creating the appearance I was involved in misconduct and other acts of abuse of me by neighbors and the management that distracted from and ended the use of my leased apartment.

Much of my story is also told by Jen Moore and Ted Gunderson of harassed connected people to the Kennedy Assasinats now at risk

Malcom "Mac" Wallace, in the words of Mark Gorton was LBJ's "personal hit man" and "had been killing people for a decade to keep LBJ's crimes from being exposed" 7 January 1971 Found guilty of "murder with malice aforethought" for which he was given a suspended sentence. He was working as LBJ's personal assassin since that time.- Wikispooks

Jen Moore and myself Ed Donean assert a slander campaing of damning allegations are part of racketeering. Malice Aforethought is a crime like suing someone fabricated damning allegations with malice aforthought is twice injurious to the victim and twice beneficial to the criminal. Since insurance my pay out a slanderous allegtions (a plus for the false accuser) the damage done to the reputation and social status of the slander is a minus to the person slandered. But since the goal was damage to the slander victim it is a second plus the the false accuser who has malice towards the person they sued falsely.

22EV-0391-JP11 Mac Lamar Management LLC/ Ocean Drive Apartments versus Edward Paul Donegan Edward Paul Donegan requests of the Court in 2021-FAM-2372-a, file number 0014159369 Edward Paul Doneagn asserts an illegal operation violating Title 18 USC Chapter 13 is harrassing and itimitading legal and politcal works by Edward Paul Donegan who is a witness to illegal government activities.

Syllabus Ted Gunderson was an functioned as an Assisant Attorney General in the Department of Justice while assigned to Robert F. Kennedy then Attorney General brother to assasinated Robert F. Kennedy Presdient of the USA alleged to be fighting internal CIA backed business cartels of the CIA like United Fruit Compnany of John Foster Dulles and others. Currnet CIA Director William J. Burns and Bush Family and Obama Family and many other figures in society had been parts of MKULTRA and Ted Gunderson asserted in his FBI DOJ and Warren Commission work the murder of JFK, his coworker RFK, and many others were tied to the CIA sileinced of internation busiess with high ranking political and even media backing. Ted Gunders asserts as Ed Donegan does CIA and FBI led harrassment still exists of those outing the history of those assasinations.

Ed Donegan from Dorchester - Marshfield Massacussettes living political lives of Roman Catholics similar to the Kenneys and liklely connected to the events of the Kennedy Assasination is writing one book of non fiction on the assasinastion of JFK and one book of fictionaly modeling a version of how and why the CIA would have assasinated RFK. The cover of the first book is JFK and the cover of the second book is RFK.

Ted GUnderson and Edward Paul Donegan have asserted a scheme of politcial repression and subversion using CIA methods of non-uniformed undercover assets to harrass and undermine people (the German Espionage term is Stasi) decompose the lives of victims using pretexts and lopsided disputes initiated manufactured by frauds commited those seeming to be nuetral themselves but are secretly a menace to those they harrass with fraudlent acts and assertions against.

Reflexive Control in Civilian Contexts

Copilot: I am a writer and I assert "Reflexive Control" is being used on property managers. Information is fed to them I am a bad tenant and would use the apartment in a bad way thus excuses should be made up by the property manager to deny my rental application. I assert rumors have been used to cause those reflexes in proopety managers.

Concept Overview

Reflexive Control is a strategic method where false or distorted information is introduced to manipulate a target's decision-making. In civilian contexts, this can manifest as rumor-based sabotage affecting housing or employment outcomes.

Application to Housing Denial

Layer	Description	Example
Actor	Property Manager	Gatekeeper of housing access
Manipulator	Rumor Source	Feeds false info about tenant
Information Vector	Background checks, social media, informal networks	“Tenant has history of damage”
Perception Shift	Manager believes tenant is risky	Begins to look for reasons to deny
Reflexive Action	Denial of application	Justified by vague or unrelated criteria

Philosophical and Legal Implications

- **Epistemic Injustice:** Decisions based on false knowledge undermine fairness.
- **Institutional Reflexivity:** Gatekeepers may unknowingly act on manipulated perceptions.
- **Legal Gray Zones:** Denials based on unverifiable rumors may violate anti-discrimination laws.

Use in Writing

This framework can be used to build narrative case studies, expose institutional vulnerabilities, or critique systemic bias. It’s especially relevant in stories involving sabotage, reputation warfare, or institutional manipulation.

1) Recognize the Terms of Art in law of fraud, racketeering, Conspiracy Against Rights, Criminal Harassment Under Color of Law, breaking-and-entering under Texas law, breach of contract by Mac Lamar Manage LLC in its fostering of hostile and racketeering neighbors and grounds staff.

On the day following my starting a chapter in my book on William J. Burns CIA Director being the son of William F. Burns who Col. L. Fletcher Prouty asserted was part of a Secret Team CIA tied the notices to turn in my keys and vacate the apartment began and when I did not so so other saboutaouge to lights and power and usage of the office began.

Witness statements are summarized in Requests of the Court and detail in the CDROM filed.

2) Recognize the fraud initiate in the child courts as under Texas Law Improper Commitment, a false attested set of facts for a jury or magistrate or Justice of the Peace to act on the the Mac Lamar Manmage LLC fabrication of the false allegations to harass Ed Donegan exercising his leased home and home office apartment rights.

3)Recognize the less than artful response by Edward Paul Donegan to the conditions and the threats manufactured by Mac Lamar's fabrication of evidence and harassment to be in a pattern of better crafted responses in writing that had been ignored by Mac Lamar even as the hazard of living conditions grew to an emergency state

of now power, lights, utilities, refrigeration, etc., as the open exposed system of unsecured utilities failed an a loiterer was in the vicinity of the unsecured tripped circuit breaker near the parking lot area.

4) Recognize that the falsified allegations against Edward Paul Donegan as a fabricated pretext to harass and leave Edward Donegan without his apartment home or home office is harassment in retaliation to Edward Paul Donegan;s use of his home and home office to assert in police and other filings that Mac Malamar Manage LLC and other undercover operators (they have admitted being in some undercover unit, not identified specifically) are retaliating and hindering Ed Donegan in his home and home office and even political base of operations, and his standing in the community as false allegations are propagated in social and leasing and media competition for reach of voice and opportunities.

5) Recognize the police in Corpus Christi have falsified records related to the computer thefts from Ed Donegan's homes and thefts from his homes, and that Ed Donegan sees this as Interstate issue of both law (Federal Questions) and Diversity of Persons and upon the child courts issue being quashed as fraudulent harassment in violation of Federal law then Edward Paul Donegan has a preference for Tennessee to joining in allegations with deceased Ted Gunderson that Impossible Mission Teams, a STASI of Subversion, harassed both of us and in response to the the same matter, a group of assassinations and murders tied to JFK history.

6) A thirty day continuance to find an attorney and familiarize the fact pattern to him or her such that the issues can be addressed artfully.

---

7) Edward Paul Donegan wishes the Court to recognize his transmission of the death bed statements of Ted Gunderson and Jen Moore of the Stasi "Targeted Victims" targeted by the CIA illegally and presents those death bed statements here was witness statements in summary form.

Using 28 USC 1746 This Document Transmits Two States of violations of 18 USC Section 1111 Murder and in Treason, Assassination, and Silencing of Witnesses

This text transmits two statutory of violations of 18 USC Section 1111 of Chapter 73, Murder and in Treason, Assassination, and Silencing of Witnesses (including by depatterning noise harassment or expressive speech noise harassment including Stalkers in menacing activities.)

Witness-1) The Death Bed statement of former Tracy California police officer Jen Moore trained in psychology and sexual abuse cases investigations and responses as she feared for her post police life while documenting being Stalked as a whistle-blower by the CIA whom she mentions specifically and credibly and others who told her she would be murdered of she talked but continued to do so leaving Death Bed statements on line about Glorian Donegan and Edward Donegan and as she states explicitly that the story of WWII history even going back to Vienna and the CIA following WWI is part of the assassination facilitated infiltration of the US Government by operatives associated with a globalism plan the JFK objected to and this is tied to the Donegan history of 850 N. Randolph Street #221 Arlington Virginia and Glorian Donegan and Edward Paul Donegan's story.

Screen grabs are included in this filing of Jen Moore talking about and showing partially visible federal records (with federal court stamps) records and history of Glorian Donegan and Edward Paul Donegan's home and the OSS CIA background story of that, of me and Glorian Donegan, she and possibly I might be Silenced over and she was found dead after an earlier violent physical attack she was hospitalized over threatening her from speaking any further.

Jen Moore asserted she would be and others might be "Silenced" (Silence of the Grave) and I assert she was attempts have been made to afflict such egregious injury to me in my life in Conspiracy Against Rights murder or attempted murder charges and obstruction of justice charges are easily due on any number of statues but probably best fit under Title 18 USC Chapter 73 used with Jen Moore and I facing attempts to Silence us and other witnesses.

Robyn Gritz former FBI has spoken publicly about the assertions of Jen Moore and that the death of Jen Moore should be considered possibly resultant from foul play. Robyn Gritz Austin, Texas private company executive As seen in: Fox News, NPR, The Hill Covers: Corruption, FBI, DOJ, Human Trafficking, Terrorism, Border Issues, Whistleblowers

While I have never spoken with by any means Robyn Gritz based on what I know of the Donegan family history and my knowledge Jen Moore was silenced over these OSS CIA Vatican World War underground railroad histories I have emailed her on social media to alert to the facts I am presenting corroborating statements from Jen Moore with my public and private records and oral family history backed up by other sources including Jen Moore and Ted Gunderson.

In the Evidence Folders submitted (Groups 1, 2, Witness) the connection between Jen Moore, assertions against the operators of Mac Lamar LLC / Ocean Street Apartments is made. I asserted before my trip to California to leave Texas that in Texas the Mafia was operating with fabricated allegations in mockup apartments and wrote MS Word documents to Fetlife (swingers site) Capitola and Santa Cruz polife agencies and businesses and within those two days I assembled the data between living with William Ruiz in Capitola and Jim Vocelka in Monterey area the fraud continued by my public sharing of docs and data from my social media and MS Word Documents including the data again presented here in the CDROM show locations I am alleged to be act but am not, and this is fraud Jen Moore was empowered with my evidence with, and combined with her own a threat to the operation underway that is Mafia was presented by the assertions of Jen Moore and myself, and the more I pursue that with Mac Lamar LLC the greater the retaliation against me I think showing their sensitivity to illegal operations asserted to be conducted by themselves.

Witness-2) The living statement of Edward Paul Donegan that his is Targeted by illegal programs including a mafia slush fund built on using his own assets for his own destruction, mafia paying themselves to make false allegations against Edward Paul Donegan, and that Edward Paul Donegan can confirm with specifics from Oral history inside the Donegan family I have written about for thirty years or so and talked about during that time frame and by existing photos and the process evidence destruction of other photos created and public records on major events in history tie "Elites" of today's globalist government to CIA OSS Vatican plans for assassinations and overthrow, the WWII was in part a dispute of British Colonialism versus European Nationalism, and that the Kennedy family while not racist embraced the anti-British colonialism plans, was assassinated and Colonialists then and now tied to the assassination by themselves or groomed for government generations are now silencing witness to the misconduct (murder and assassinations) tied to the Colonialism history.

Witness-3) Edward Donegan transmits via reduction to these notes the death bed statements of Ted Gunderson high ranking FBI agent and confidant of the Kennedy's and anti racketeering agent joined at the gun holster with Robert F. Kennedy and John F. Kennedy fighting against CIA mafia and Ted Gunderson like the Kennedy's assert a series of murders he was officially assigned to including Marilyn Monroe and JFK were tied to in an international for profit world interest operating inside the CIA and most historians agree to that and Edward Paul Donegan's story is told through the last 4 SSSN.

FBI official in retirement spoke of Decomposition by GangStalk of the lives of people like himself the Espionage agencies have a vendettaa against. Things go wrong in their lives, accusations plauge them, they get evicted from apartments and canceled on speaking tours or social events. Or have car accidents. Or things like that. Because the police help thjngs like that to happen people Targeted by espionage agencies.

Additionally Ed Donegan asserts as James Paul Donegan stated all of his life a pro British colonialism historical revisionism is deeply rooted in the US fiction entertainment and news companies and these pro globalist factions argue against theories whistle-blowers are offering of promulgating.

Rather than read the existing literature on this matter (YouTube and bitchute videos, conference calls recorded, substantiating books such as The Devils Chessboard and other works or again repeating already cited public records supporting Ed Donegan and Jen Moore's assertion of the Deep State this document only calls attention to

the existing data and and refers the two statements, Jen Moore's and mine, to some future prosecutor or member of the press.

8) Edward Paul Donegan includes in this updated filing the letter he has written to Tennessee officials asking for assistance of police assisted crime against Edward Paul Donegan and in this letter Edward Paul Donegan (myself) assert Dean Rusk and underground railroads were closely associated with the 1926 home of Glorian Donegan near Davidson College, Dean Rusk was a Rockefeller Foundation internationalist, and many see that connection as well as others I draw to the Kennedy assassination, only one connection of about 6 major total connections Edward Paul Donegan, Jen Moore, and Ted Gunderson asserted, likely not any ever having spoken to each other and likely never aware of each other during their lives.

Singed Electronically using caps EDWARD PAUL DONEGAN 022-46-3233

Espionage and Law Enforcement tip numbers Corpus_Christi PD 1911030057, CIA Submission Reference ID: 9N6HJJMH Submission Reference ID: C9LFNYWT refereed to also in NSA OSINT OIG tip by Secure Drop


NOV 3 209
CASE #
19110500057
886 - 2800
SERIAL #
MODEL
OFFICER
C. VALLINA 9168

COLIN FIRTH
GEOFFREY RUSH
HELENA BONHAM CARTER
THE KING'S SPEECH
"A MASTERPIECE. THE BEST PICTURE OF THE YEAR."
CAUTION:
Do Not Leave In Heat
BASED ON A TRUE STORY
FIND YOUR VOICE
THE COMPLETE FIRST SEASON
iZOMBIE
CAUTION:
Do Not Leave In Heat
twilight
eclipse
CAUTION:
Do Not Leave In Heat
The Best Twilight movie so far!

EyesOnKingsSpeech1925.jpg EyesOnKingsSpeech1925 go to the fact I was watched in Corpus Christi Public Library, when I was researching the Kings Speech an unsolved but easily solved crime stole my computer, TV, and all of my book notes when I was not using backup. THe 1925 Windsor speech defect of Albert younger brother of Edward of Wales and the Albert as King George the WWII ally dying in 1951-1952 of lung cancer as MK-Ultra started up. At least 1,000 death threats have been associated my my autobiography and Jen Moore experiences those death threats and attacks over my family history too.

Singed Edward Paul Donegan 0/1/2022

Name_____Date_____

My (Edward Paul Donegan's) Books Show My Story is also that of Tara Moore of Boston

The landlord who was part of the government of her area used shills to attack her through the vents, break-in, harrass her, and farbic excuses to evict the single mother of one. The Government wished put Tara Moore in non-performance on the lease agreement but the leasing company was a fraud. From its poistion of power they hid the frauds that denied her safe private use of the apartment even when she was in it.

robert montgomery thomas

848 Washington Street | Weymouth, MA 02189 - 1525
781 - 335 - 1796 | bobmontgomerythomas@gmail.com

May 30, 2017

James D. Baldassini, Esq.
Baldassini and Baldassini
59 Winter Street
Weymouth, MA 02188-0002

Re: Tara Moore, 275 Lake Street, Unit 3, Weymouth, MA 02189, Docket # 1756SU000273

Dear Attorney Baldassini:

I recently made the acquaintance of Tara Moore, *supra*, and all I can say is that I was astonished by the story she told me about her landlord/tenant relationship with your client Robert L. Hedlund, of 54 Longwood Road, Weymouth, who is also the current mayor of Weymouth. Mr. Hedlund is the registered owner of a corporation known as HWO, LLC (aka Hedlund World Order, LLC), which limited liability corporation owns the subject property.

I am going to cut right to the chase by saying 1): that I find it incredulous that a seasoned attorney like you would participate in what amounts to taking advantage of a disabled woman (diagnosed with Panic Disorder, without Agoraphobia), who is also a recovering addict and who was not advised by or apprised of her rights to counsel in her dealings with you or your client, and 2): having seen pictures of the living conditions she has had to endure in her tenancy would be indicative of what a Weymouth slumlord would likely provide to his or her tenants. That the Boston Housing Authority (BHA) participates in the tenant's lease by almost $1,200 a month and has done little, if anything, to order your client to correct the glaring deficiencies over a long period of time is astonishing as well — probably criminal — at least in my mind.

From what I can see, your client has also engaged in a pattern of harassment, violating MGL c. 264, § 34A (a) and prowling, the prowling incident admitted on his TV show, "Ask the Mayor" on April 6, 2017. If you would like I will provide you with the time stamp information from the show.

I am enclosing herewith a contact sheet with pictures of the premises Ms. Moore leases from your client. The term utterly disgusting really does not fit its description. That you, your client and the BHA could care less is appalling and the eviction notice you sent her, without her being represented by counsel, has damaged her chances of finding an apartment or condo for her and her daughter. She has already been denied by Weymouth Place: recommended by your client. That Ms. Moore and her daughter would have to live on the street is apparently not your issue.

Without further ado I am going to print seven copies of the contact sheet: one for you; one for your client; one for the BHA; one for the local Board of Health; one for Judge Coven; one for the Governor's Opioid Task Force and one for District Attorney Michael Morrissey to see what they think about the situation and your ruthless representation for an obvious slumlord.

Bob Montgomery Thomas

cc: Robert L. Hedlund; Cheryl Hill, BHA; Daniel McCormick, Weymouth BOH; Hon. Judge Mark S. Coven, Quincy District Court; Governor Charles D. Baker; Dist. Atty. Michael Morrissey; Disabled Persons Protection Commission, the Patriot Ledger; Boston Globe and Boston Herald.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
TRIAL COURT

NORFOLK, ss.

DISTRICT COURT DEPARTMENT
QUINCY DIVISION
DOCKET NO.: 1656-SU-0294

HWO LLC
PLAINTIFF (Landlord)

v.

TARA MOORE
DEFENDANT (Tenant)

DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (**Rent Is Paid In Full or Tenant Exercised Right to Cure Timely**)

The Defendant ("Tenant") moves that this action be dismissed because all rent is paid in full.

A. X The Landlord brought a case for nonpayment of rent but no rent is owed. *Attached is the following documentation of payment:* -will Bring to court.

2. The Tenant exercised his/her right to cure under M.G.L. c. 186, § 11 or § 12 as follows:

X The Tenant has a lease and exercised his/her right to cure by paying or tendering all rent owed (plus interest and court costs, where applicable) on or before the Monday answer date. M.G.L. c. 186, § 11.

___ The Tenant is a tenant-at-will, had not received a notice to quit previously in the last twelve (12) months, and exercised his/her right to cure by paying or tendering all rent owed within 10 days of receiving the notice to quit. M.G.L. c. 186, § 12.

___ The Tenant is a tenant-at-will, had not received a notice to quit previously in the last twelve (12) months, and exercised his/her right to cure by paying or tendering all rent owed on or before the Monday answer date, which was timely cure because the Landlord failed to notify the Tenant of cure rights on the notice to quit. M.G.L. c. 186, § 12.

Therefore the action should be dismissed, or summary judgment for possession granted to the Tenant. See, for example, Olivier v. McFarlane, Boston Housing Court No. 09-SP-00032 (Muirhead, J., Jan. 20, 2009) (tenancy at will, notice did not advise of 10-day cure right, and tenant did cure prior to entry of action); Wingate Mgt. v. Rieves, Boston Housing Court No. 07-

Tara's Story is Ed's Story is Ted's Story [Fromm Ted Gunderson Bio]

The Numbers are astronomical, the decades and daily attacks of system of petty thieves and petty people operationg in effect under color of law as State assets to sabotage and harrass my life

Much of my life if not all of my adult life has been exhausted trying to cope with covert government harassment. The sophisticated methods use fabrications, staged and deceitful optics acted out in public, coordinated lying and conspiracy against rights via dirty tricks.

The smear campaigns that include break-ins to plant evidence rather than retrieve it and shills moved in to adjoining apartments as real neighbors are moved out create a marionette string operated hecklers and provocateurs moving in and outnumbering a victim both numerically and how well placed the under-cover operation is in the support it has.

These are intentional frauds about me. Not revenge, not mistakes about me, frauds about me and the fraudsers know the perception of the me the frauds create as an image of me are not true about me but from dirt-tricks, fakes, forgeries, actor role-players staging events of a script written by fraudsters.

If the real life Tara Moore is still alive (and she feared for her life) her experiences here recited by her lawyer show the same system of attack she experience that I have experienced. That attack being the kind of false allegations of sexual misconduct made predatorially rather than factually.

An anology in fiction. Law And Order Special Victims Unit - Taken Episode aired Dec 15, 2000 Plot Summary: When a young female reports a brutal rape during a hotel's grand opening, the police investigates the details of the attack to pin down their suspect, who has a prior conviction for statutory rape. Director Michael Fields Writers Dick Wolf, Dawn DeNoon, Lisa Marie Petersen, Stars Christopher Meloni, Mariska Hargitay, Richard Belzer

The “special victim” is a former underage girl rapist and himself under age. At his age 17 a con team (grifters) know his background and fake a **Deep Pockets civil complaint against the hotel** employing him at very low wages, the brand new well financed hotel will have to pay-out because it carelessly hired him. With the detectives on the case they find the female victim was a fake, the team creating the compliant a con artist team. Stabler and his partner plead the grifters to a minor or civil charge and the grifters agree. Then Stabler and his partner arrest the grifters on serious charges right in front of the judge for felony involvement in his wrongful death in custody, attacked as a sex offender after the sex offender allegations made it around as romours during detention. After the KOMPROMAT is placed the lynch mobs are stoked by police.

I Edward Paul Donegan have been black-listed from housing and other resources as police harassment (motivated by undermining me and my book writing) as a smear campaign plants seeming children victims of me and while illegally in my apartment in my absence cutting peep holes in the drapes facing out into the yards or parks, etc.,

Fabricated allegations also drive attacks on me.

In this book I Edward Paul Donegan and Ted Gunderson and Jen Moore have been harassed, poisoned, and threatened over my families history and this includes McMartin School and Franklin Nebraska, the CIA at ATSUGI, the Cold War, and the Kennedy and Donegan family interlinked from Boston to Patrica and Peter Lawford in Los Angeles County.

Ted Gunderson and Jen Moore both asserted illgal stalker teams gave death threats, deadly assaults, and attacks up to and including poisoning in order to silence their stories they assert tie to JFK.

I am being pilloried over sexual interest in minors buttocks and cut-off [short shorts] and street solicitation and exploitation of others I do not have those characteristics but why fake those calcuated smears of me?

At least 30k attacks on me since 1992 in public and private and no less than 100 open death threat to me in the last 10 years as I try to write. Most attacks undermining anywhere I write my books or have a home office, eroding use of office. It is the Jean Hill last witness story 1000 times over.

The purpose of destroying lives with cover criminal harassment using techniques all the way up to radiologically and other poisonings is the eliminate any martyrdom of fame; simply humiliate a person in society as pedophile, schizophrenic, any form of loser, homeless person, psych ward escapee, and insure over time the smear is effective enough to ensure person behind a dumpster is run of the mill street person, not someone the most elite in society are terrified of.

I have likened the entire plan to have landlords others drive me out because I am a peeper (when I am not but the Gangsalk manufactures this) and leaving me in skid row homeless shelters under black and white assault and loss of all belongings over and over again to the Law and Order Special Victims Unit episode *Taken*.

Gaslighting has repeatedly left me homeless unhealthy with little communications or hygeine or privacy and under attack by skid row thugs as a bag man in 100 degree heat. All allegations are in some way false and on fabrications of appearances and not reality.

It is exactly the acts of people like Jim Vocelka who take the deal to evict me, profit from it, or help others too, then leave me in homeless shelters where I am said to be there out of mental incompetence needing Social Services representation.

Jim Volcelka intentionally and knowingly engaged in coached fraudulent acts to make me seem intellectually retarded thus needing conservancy as well as faked allegations the layer of conservancy would then pay out as claims though the claims were staged frauds.

"Gaslighting" is the act of moving things around in home when the person is not there such as the location of furniture, etc.,

Stalking a person is most distressing when the Stalker proves to the Stalked person the Stalker has gained access to details of their life, home, etc.,

Gas lighting got its name when a husband in a movie toyed with the mind of his wife saying the gas was off but then in an out of place way lit a gas lamp that should not have worked.

Coming home as I repeatedly did to find my underwear gone, altered, left outdoors, my drapes cut with peepholes, damaged items not damaged when I left, etc., were a particular subset of Gaslighting, staging fraudulent appearances that will both defame and injure me and my reputation but give others a pretext for retaliation against me for what was staged in the Gaslighting.

That first veiled aggression is creating a phony allegation or the visual support for a phony allegation that then opens the door literarly for a retaliation over the phony allegation.

Tara Moore harassed by the GOP mayor and owner of her building is from Weymouth the Town of Presidents. There are 8.67 miles from Weymouth to Dorchester in northwest direction and 12 miles (19.31 kilometers) by car, following the MA 3 route. Dorchester is where my father Jim Donegan was born and the Kennedy's are from, all part of Cape Cod area South Boston, Columbia Point out into Cape Cod, the Brookline area of town, etc,

Abigail Adams was from there, the Kennedys, long history going back to he Boston Tea Party and fighting of the British and Boston Harbor.

As she was violently stalked and attacked in here bathroom through the walls and vets as she showered, used the toilet, etc., same with me) she wondered how insensitive to the important history this could happen in this area, police misconduct. I wondered in astonishment in Washington D.C. area Arlington County Bolston Stop WMATA incredibly KOMPROMAT hate crime could be successfully conducted out in the open.

Excuse me it is Quincy as I look at her tweets. Quincy, MA Called the "City of Presidents" and "Birthplace of the American Dream", Quincy is the birthplace of the second and sixth U.S. Presidents, John Adams and his son, John Quincy Adams. Rich in historic treasures, Quincy's impressive past remains vibrant today as the city lays claim to an exciting future.

> Broken hearted Tara Moore this could be happening. "Was haunted, harassed and stalked out of here to her 68 BEALE STREET QUINCY MASSACHUSETTS USA IN THE CITY OF PRESIDENTS~ TJM or America 💔 ... 🤍 💙 " 9:51 PM · Dec 2, 2021 https://twitter.com/TaraJMoore76/status/1466616168916082690?s=20

These Stalks were not in spite of the importance of the area, but because of them and the histories.

In my last few days of MacLamar property 1001 N. Water St. I spent nights with kitchen knives with me, my mattress pulled to the center of the apartment, the far side of the room from the windows, and furniture arranged to slow intruder entrance into the apartment.

Police assisted break-ins and other threats were a constant as hostile "snicth" neighbors of street gangs were moved next to me.

This is the life of Ted Gunderson whose daughter was shot at through her window after Ted Gunderson had received threats to that affect in advance and later as well. The bullet-hole was photographed and document and Ted was no slouch in being able to collect and research the evidence.

Tar Moore was agoraphobic, a fear of being outside and even being in fear of being in her apartment. We shared that in common and talked on Twitter about Stalkers and the Government operating through landlord office fronts.

Here is what a lawyer responding to her situation had to say, and at least in my case there was a 25 year plus at least history of every place I lived in custody of or out being exactly the same.

When some people go to bed they feel safe, alone, or with their partner. I don't think I have sensed tat in 25 years and I am not meant to, the stalkers are out their and on a mission to prove that to me at all times.

Robert L. Hedlund (born July 12, 1961) is an American businessman and politician serving as the mayor of Weymouth, Massachusetts. He was formerly a member of the Massachusetts Senate representing the Plymouth and Norfolk District.

Robert Montgomery Thomas (Mr.)
848 Washington Street
Weymouth. MA 02189 -1525
781 - 335 -1796 BobMontgomeryThomas@gmaiLcom

May 30, 2017

James D. Baldassini, Esq.
Baldassini and Baldassini
59 Winter Street
Weymouth, MA 02188-0002

Re: Tara Moore, 275 Lake Street, Unit 3,
Weymoutl, MA 02189, Docket # 1756SU000273

Dear Attomey Baldassini

I recently made the acquaintance of Tara Moore, supra, and all I can say is that I was astonished by the story she told me about her landlord/tenant relationship with your client Robert L. Hedlund, of 54 Longwood Road, Weymouth, who is also the current mayor of Weymouth. Mr. Hedlund is the registered owner of a

corporation known as HWO, LLC (aka Hediund World Order, LLC), which limited liability corporation owns the subject property.

I am going to cut right to the chase by saying 1): that I find it incredulous that a seasoned attorney like you would participate in what amounts to taking advantage of a disabled woman (diagnosed with Panic Disorder, without Agoraphobia), who is also a recovering addict and who was not advised by or apprised of her rights to counsel in her dealings with you or your cfient, and 2): having seen pictures of the living conditions she has had to endure in her tenancy would be indicative of what a Weymouth slumlord would likely provide to his or her tenants. That the Boston Housing Authority (BHA) participates in the tenant's lease by almost $1 ,200 a month and has done little, if anything, to order your client to correct the glaring deficiencies over a long period of time is astonishing as well probably criminal at least in my mind.

From what I can see your client has also engaged in a pattern of harassment, violating MGL c. 264, S 34A (a) and prowling, the prowling incident admitted on his TV show, "*Ask the Mayor*" on April 6, 2017. If you would like I will provide you with the time stamp information from the show.

I am enclosing herewith a contact sheet with pictures of the premises Ms. Moore leases from your client. The term utterly disgusting really does not fit its description. That you, client and the BHA could care less is appalling and the eviction notice you sent her, without being represented by counsel, has damaged her chances of finding an apartment or condo for her her daughter.

She has already been denied by Weymouth Place: recommended by your client .

That Ms. Moore and her daughter would have to live on the street is apparently not your issue.

Without further ado I am going to print seven copies of the contact sheet: one for you; one for your client; one for the BHA; one for the local Board of Health; one for Judge Coven; one for the Governors Opioid Task Force and one for District Attorney Michael Morrissey to see what they think about the situation and your ruthless representation for an obvious slumlord.

cc: Robert L. Hedlund; Cheryl Hill, BHA; Daniel McCormickt Weymouth BOH; Hon Judge Mark S Coven, Quincy District Court; Governor Charles D. Baker; Dist Atty. Michael Morrissey Disabled Persons Protection Commission, the Patriot Ledger; Boston Globe and Boston Herald'

ChatGPT: Could you describe the possible fit of malicious prosecution to prosecute an indvidual whose mere intent was supress an abuse of rights of the individual conducted under color of law?

Malicious prosecution refers to the wrongful initiation of criminal or civil proceedings against someone without probable cause, and with malice, resulting in harm to the accused. To analyze its possible fit in the situation you describe, we can break it down element by element and apply it to the facts.

Retaliatory Prosecution Targeting Whistle-Blowers

> **Scenario:** An individual is prosecuted — not because they committed a crime — but because their actions sought to *expose or suppress an abuse of their own rights* committed under color of law (for example, unlawful police or official misconduct). The prosecution is initiated by officials seeking to punish or silence the person.

Below is an analysis of how this could fit within the doctrine of malicious prosecution:

Ed Donegan asserts as Jen Moore did

Whistle-blowing speech is bullied autorities. This includes evidence plants and other harrassment. **Authorities undermine resultant whistle-blower complaints** about policing as being paronoia, shchizophrenia, or terrorsist extremism.

Element of Malicious Prosecution	Application to the Described Case
1. Initiation or continuation of proceedings	Officials or prosecutors begin or maintain a criminal case against the individual. The proceedings are "real" (not mock or fictitious), but are used as an instrument of reprisal or intimidation.
2. Lack of probable cause	The prosecution lacks factual or legal grounds — the supposed "crime" consists merely of the person's attempt to resist or expose official abuse (e.g., filing complaints, recording public officials, or asserting constitutional rights). This absence of legitimate cause supports the malicious prosecution claim.
3. Malice or improper purpose	The motive is not law enforcement but retaliation. Officials act to protect themselves or their institution from embarrassment, accountability, or liability. Using prosecution to suppress lawful dissent or the exposure of misconduct constitutes clear malice in this context.
4. Favorable termination of proceedings	For a civil action for malicious prosecution to be viable, the criminal case must end favorably for the accused (e.g., acquittal, dismissal, or reversal). This signals the underlying prosecution was unfounded.
5. Damages	The individual suffers harm — financial costs, emotional distress, loss of liberty, or reputational injury — as a direct consequence of the wrongful prosecution.

Thus, **malicious prosecution fits the described situation well** when the state or its agents use legitimate legal machinery to punish someone for asserting or protecting their rights against abuse "under color of law." The courts view such misuse of prosecutorial power as a serious constitutional tort, often implicating the *Fourth Amendment* (seizure without probable cause) and the *Fourteenth Amendment* (due process).

> In short: when prosecution becomes a tool of suppression rather than justice — when its goal is to silence a person defending their rights — it can satisfy all the elements of malicious prosecution.

Title: Malicious Prosecution as a Mechanism of Suppression Under Color of Law

IntroductionMalicious prosecution is a tort and constitutional violation that arises when an individual is subjected to criminal or civil proceedings without probable cause and for an improper purpose. Traditionally, the doctrine protects individuals from the unjust exercise of state prosecutorial power. However, in certain contexts, malicious prosecution can serve as a powerful analytical framework to describe how lawful court processes may be weaponized to suppress individuals who attempt to defend or assert their rights against abuses committed under color of law. This essay examines the application of malicious prosecution to such circumstances, where the state's machinery of justice becomes an instrument of retaliation rather than an instrument of justice.

I. Abuse of Process and Malicious Prosecution Distinguished Although closely related, malicious prosecution differs from abuse of process. *Abuse of process* concerns the misuse of judicial proceedings for an ulterior purpose after the case has been initiated properly. *Malicious prosecution*, by contrast, targets the wrongful institution of proceedings themselves—where the initiation of charges lacks probable cause and is motivated by malice. When government actors initiate prosecutions to suppress the exposure of misconduct or the assertion of rights, their actions fall squarely within the conceptual boundaries of malicious prosecution. The process itself becomes the harm: the proceeding is both legally real and substantively corrupt.

II. Elements of Malicious Prosecution Applied to Retaliatory Prosecution

Element	Application to the Scenario
1. Initiation or continuation of proceedings	The first element requires that the defendant actively instigated or continued a criminal case. When officials—such as police, prosecutors, or other agents of the state—bring charges against an individual whose conduct merely involved resisting official wrongdoing, the element is satisfied. The proceeding's reality (as opposed to being fictitious) enhances, rather than diminishes, its wrongfulness: the law's formal instruments are being employed to achieve an unlawful end.
2. Lack of probable cause	A valid prosecution requires probable cause—reasonable grounds to believe the accused committed an offense. When a person is prosecuted for acts such as documenting official misconduct, filing legitimate complaints, or asserting constitutional rights, probable cause is absent. The pretext of criminal liability conceals the retaliatory motive, rendering the prosecution groundless in law and fact.
3. Malice or improper purpose	Malice, in this context, refers not to personal animosity but to the use of prosecution for an improper purpose. When prosecution serves to silence dissent, deter reporting of official misconduct, or intimidate individuals from asserting rights, the purpose is antithetical to justice. The state's power becomes an instrument of oppression. Courts have recognized that malice may be inferred from the absence of probable cause combined with evidence of retaliatory intent.
4. Favorable termination	A malicious prosecution claim matures only when the prior proceeding terminates in favor of the accused—through acquittal, dismissal, or reversal on appeal. Such termination affirms the underlying illegitimacy of the prosecution and allows the aggrieved person to seek redress.
5. Damages	The harm caused by malicious prosecution includes legal expenses, emotional distress, reputational injury, and deprivation of liberty. When a person is wrongfully prosecuted for challenging official misconduct, the harm extends beyond individual suffering—it chills public participation and undermines trust in the justice system itself.

III. Malicious Prosecution as a Violation of Constitutional Rights

Under U.S. constitutional law, malicious prosecution implicates both the *Fourth Amendment* right against unreasonable seizures and the *Fourteenth Amendment* guarantee of due process. When state actors initiate criminal proceedings without probable cause and for a retaliatory motive, they violate the target's right to be free from arbitrary governmental action. Federal courts have recognized Section 1983 claims for malicious prosecution when the prosecution constitutes an unreasonable seizure or a deprivation of liberty without due process of law.

In the described context—where an individual merely attempts to suppress or expose abuses of rights committed under color of law—the prosecution functions as a reprisal for protected conduct. The First Amendment also becomes relevant: retaliation against an individual for petitioning the government or speaking out about official misconduct constitutes a direct infringement of constitutional expression and petition rights. Thus, malicious prosecution may serve not only as a tort remedy but as a constitutional safeguard against retaliatory governance.

IV. The Broader Implications of Retaliatory Prosecution

When malicious prosecution is used to suppress those who resist or expose government abuse, the harm transcends the individual case. It signals a structural corruption of justice, in which the state's coercive powers are redirected from public protection to self-preservation. Such misuse of process erodes the rule of law and subverts the very legitimacy of the legal system. The doctrine of malicious prosecution serves as a corrective measure, holding accountable those who would use the courts as tools of oppression rather than instruments of truth.

Conclusion

In conclusion, malicious prosecution aptly characterizes the scenario in which an individual is prosecuted not for wrongdoing, but for attempting to suppress or expose abuses committed under color of law. The state's retaliatory use of prosecution transforms the law from a shield of rights into a weapon of control. Recognizing and redressing such misuse through the doctrine of malicious prosecution is essential to preserving both individual liberty and institutional integrity within the rule of law.

Would you like me to format this essay for Microsoft Word (with title page, headings, and citations placeholders)?

ChatHGPT: I am trying to write about Abuse of Process and that being using court actions thar are real rather than mock proceedings but using fabricated data in the proceedings to get an unjust outcome. One example is the police framing a person for a crime and then presented the faked evidence in a trail of the accused. Another exmaple I thinking of is proseuctors falsifying a person has a civil liabilty and extorting a paymennt to compenstate the false claim. Both of these cases would be covered by conspiracy and other laws.

Abuse of Process is a doctrine rooted in the principle that legal proceedings must not be perverted to achieve ends contrary to justice. It occurs when the mechanisms of the court—legitimate on their face—are used for ulterior motives or supported by falsified materials. Unlike *malicious prosecution*, which concerns the wrongful initiation of proceedings without probable cause, Abuse of Process involves the improper use of an ongoing process for purposes that subvert the law's integrity. The distinction lies in the fact that the process itself may be procedurally proper, but its substance is poisoned by deceit.

In a criminal context, Abuse of Process can take the form of law enforcement officers or prosecutors presenting fabricated evidence, suppressing exculpatory facts, or manipulating testimony to secure a conviction against an innocent person. The trial may conform outwardly to due process, but the evidence is counterfeit, rendering the outcome a judicial falsehood. Similarly, in a civil context, the misuse of proceedings can manifest through falsified claims of liability, manufactured debts, or extortion under the guise of restitution. These acts convert the law's protective shield into a weapon for coercion.

> When courts are deceived into enforcing falsity, the process itself becomes an accomplice to injustice.

At law, such conduct often intersects with doctrines of **fraud upon the court** and **criminal conspiracy**. The U.S. Supreme Court recognized in *Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.*, 322 U.S. 238 (1944), that when officers of the court engage in deliberate fraud—such as fabricating evidence or deceiving the judiciary—"the integrity of the judicial process itself is impugned." Similarly, lower federal courts have held that fraud upon the court "embraces only that species of fraud which does or attempts to defile the court itself, or is a fraud perpetrated by officers of the court" (*Moore v. United States*, 360 F.2d 353, 355 (4th Cir. 1966)).

Common law jurisdictions have long recognized Abuse of Process as a distinct tort, encompassing any willful act in the use of legal process not proper in the regular conduct of the proceeding. In *Grainger v. Hill* (1838) 4 Bing. N.C. 212, the English court articulated that even where a lawsuit is validly initiated, using it for an ulterior purpose —such as extortion or intimidation—constitutes actionable abuse. American courts have echoed this reasoning, noting that "the gravamen of the misconduct is the misuse of process, no matter how properly obtained, for an end not justified by law" (*Taylor v. McNichols*, 243 P.3d 642 (Idaho 2010)).

> Abuse of Process thus represents the most insidious corruption of law: the transformation of justice into a façade through which deceit gains the authority of judgment.

Modern systems have developed mechanisms to identify and deter such misconduct, though their effectiveness often varies. **Prosecutorial oversight boards**, **judicial review commissions**, and **professional discipline panels**

are established to investigate claims of fabricated evidence, perjury, and prosecutorial suppression of truth—violations that may also implicate the landmark holding of *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), which requires disclosure of exculpatory evidence. **Internal affairs divisions** within law enforcement agencies play a parallel role in uncovering systemic misconduct before it metastasizes into corruption of the judicial process itself. Yet these mechanisms depend critically on transparency, independence, and a political culture that prizes truth above institutional loyalty. When oversight is subordinated to expedience or protectionism, the same machinery designed to uphold justice may again be turned to its undoing.

> The health of a justice system is measured not by the power of its courts, but by their capacity to correct their own corruption.

Ultimately, Abuse of Process strikes at the heart of public trust in the rule of law. A judicial system cannot maintain legitimacy when the forms of justice are used to enforce falsehood. As *Hazel-Atlas* warned, "tampering with the administration of justice in the manner indisputably shown here involves far more than an injury to a single litigant. It is a wrong against the institutions set up to protect and safeguard the public." The doctrine stands, therefore, as both a legal and moral barrier to the weaponization of courts—a reminder that truth, not triumph, is the true aim of law.

Summary Table: Doctrines, Elements, and Illustrative Cases

Doctrine	Core Elements	Illustrative Cases	Typical Misconduct Addressed
Abuse of Process	• Improper use of legal process for an ulterior motive • Use of process not justified by law's purpose • Resulting harm to the victim	• *Grainger v. Hill* (1838) 4 Bing. N.C. 212 • *Taylor v. McNichols*, 243 P.3d 642 (Idaho 2010)	Extortion through court process, misuse of subpoenas, or threats of prosecution to compel payment or silence
Fraud Upon the Court	• Intentional deception by officers of the court • Corruption of judicial integrity • Impact on the impartial function of the tribunal	• *Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.*, 322 U.S. 238 (1944) • *Moore v. United States*, 360 F.2d 353 (4th Cir. 1966)	Fabricated evidence, forged documents, perjury by officers of the court, suppression of exculpatory evidence
Malicious Prosecution	• Initiation of proceedings without probable cause	• *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477 (1994)	Filing baseless criminal or civil actions to harass, retaliate, or damage reputation

Doctrine	Core Elements	Illustrative Cases	Typical Misconduct Addressed
	• Malicious intent • Favorable termination for the accused	• *Alamo Rent-A-Car v. Mancusi*, 632 So.2d 1352 (Fla. 1994)	
Brady Violations	• Suppression of material exculpatory evidence • Evidence favorable to the defense • Prejudicial impact on verdict	• *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963) • *Kyles v. Whitley*, 514 U.S. 419 (1995)	Prosecutors withholding evidence of innocence or mitigating facts to secure conviction